### Antisymmetrized Molecular Dynamics Calculations for Heavy-Ion Collisions

Patrick St-Onge Université Laval



- **Introduction and motivation**
- Antisymmetrized Molecular Dynamics (AMD)
- **Preliminary results**
- **Conclusion**

# **Introduction and motivation**

- **Heavy-Ion collisions at intermediate energies** using the multidetector HERACLES
- Why intermediate energies?
	- 5-200 AMeV
	- **Phase transition liquid-gas** 
		- Multifragmentation (IMF with Z>2)
	- **Transition between low energies and relativistic** energies
		- Competing mechanisms

-Mean field -Stochastic collisions

• Nucleonic dynamics

### **- HERACLES description**

 See Jérôme Gauthier presentation for more details





- **Dynamic of heavy-ion collisions at intermediate** energies
	- QP=Quasi-Projectile QT=Quasi-Target MR=Mid-Rapidity



#### **Equation of state (EOS)**

 $\blacksquare$  E  $(\rho, \delta)$ =E  $(\rho, \delta=0)$  + Esym  $(\rho) \cdot \delta^2$  +...

 $\delta = (\rho_n - \rho_p)/\rho$ 

 $p_n$  = neutron density  $p_P$  = proton density

80  $E_{sym}(\rho)$  (MeV) 60 40



#### **- TRIUMF ISAC-II Rare-Isotope beams**

- Rare-Isotope beams are available up to 15 AMeV
- **July 2011 experiment**  $^{25}$ Na+ $^{12}$ C at 9.23 AMeV N/Z=1.27  $^{25}$ Mg<sup>+12</sup>C at 9.23 AMeV N/Z=1.08

### **AMD**

- Transport models
	- Microscopic one-body
		- Time-Dependent Hartee-Fock (TDHF)
			- Mean field only
			- **Difficulty to produce fragments distribution and** fusion at intermediate energy
		- Boltzmann-Uehling-Uhlenbeck (BUU)
			- Mean field and NN collision
			- Not applicable below 10-15 AMeV
- **Microscopic N-body** 
	- Classic molecular dynamics
		- Follow motion of N body using the Hamiltonian
		- **Don't respect Pauli principle**
	- Quantum molecular dynamics (QMD)
		- Respect Pauli principle using BUU-type two-body collisions
	- Antisymmetrized molecular dynamics (AMD)
		- **Build to respect Pauli principle**
- **AMD** details
	- Nucleon are represented by wave packet with fixed width
	- **Antisymmetrization of wave functions**
	- A stochastic BUU-type NN collision algorithm is used
	- **Quantum Branching**



**- AMD wave function and stochastic equation of** motion

$$
|\Phi(Z)\rangle = \det_{ij} \left[ \exp\left\{-\nu \left(r_j - \frac{Z_i}{\sqrt{\nu}}\right)^2 \right\} \chi_{\alpha_i}(j) \right] |\varphi\rangle
$$
  

$$
Z_i = \sqrt{\nu} D_i + \frac{i}{2\hbar\sqrt{\nu}} K_i
$$
  

$$
\frac{d}{dt} Z_i = \{Z_i, H\} + \Delta Z_i(t) + (NN \text{ collisions})
$$

#### • Schematic time evolution of a Xe + Sn at 50 AMeV reaction simulated by AMD



A. Ono et al.

 AMD results compared with INDRA data for Xe + Sn at 50 AMeV



# **Preliminary Results**

- **Simulation summary** 
	- $\cdot$  115 000 events simulated for  $25$ Na +  $12C$  and  $25$ Mg +  $12$ C at 9.23 AMeV
	- Impact parameter  $0 < b < 7$  (fm)
	- Freeze-out at  $t=300$  fm/c and dt=0.75 fm/c
	- **Standard Gogny interaction**
	- 24 hours of compute time on 320 cores (Colosse)

#### Fragments distribution at freeze-out t=300 fm/c  $25$ Na +  $12C$  at 9.23 AMeV



#### Fragments distribution at freeze-out t=300 fm/c  $25Mg + 12C$  at 9.23 AMeV



#### **Beta Isotope distributions at t=300 fm/c - H**



#### **Isotope distributions at t=300 fm/c - He**



#### **Isotope distributions at t=300 fm/c - Li**



#### **Isotope distributions at t=300 fm/c - Be**



# **Conclusion**

- Still a lot of work to do
	- Statistical decay of fragments
	- Test other interactions (Skyrme, Gogny-As)
	- **Compare with experimental data**
- **I** Identification and calibration is already done on experimental data